

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 24 2004

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET

Important Notice: The information contained herein may be **CONFIDENTIAL** and may also be **PRIVILEGED** ~ it is intended only for the individual(s) named below. Dissemination, distribution, publishing, or copying of this information is strictly prohibited without written permission. If the reader of this cover sheet is not the intended recipient or you have received this transmission in error, please notify us as soon as possible by phone or facsimile, and return this cover and its attachments to us at the address below via postal service. Upon request, we will reimburse you for your reasonable cost of return. Thank you.

Application Number 09/856,228
Art Unit 3722 & 3712
Applicant: Linden, Craig L.

NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING THIS COVER SHEET: 13

DATE: October 24, 2004 **FAXED TO:** 703-872-9306

TO: Ms. Jamila Williams, Examiner

COMPANY: USPTO

FROM: CRAIG L. LINDEN

MAILED: (YES)

RE: Response to your request dated October 6, 2004,
regarding an older Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37
CFR 1.121)

COMMENTS: Please see attached second response.

Fax: (619) 390-6344

Ph: (619) 445-0352

Craig L. Linden
1335 Midway Drive
Alpine, California 91901

¹ RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 24 2004

Application/Control Number: 09/856,228

Art Unit 3712 & 3722 Applicant: LINDEN, CRAIG L.

Mailed and Faxed 703-872-9306 with cover page and attachments (13 pages)

Response to Prior Dated Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment

(37 CFR 1.121) Notice not received until August 23, 2005

First Response dated September 5, 2004 (allowed by Granted Petition)

Second Response Faxed October 24, 2004 and Mailed October 25, 2004


Attention:

Ms. Jamila Williams, Examiner

This is my second response. I do not know why my first response did not reach your office. Attached and enclosed are two versions of the requested Amendments to the Claims. One version includes the "whereby" phases that you requested be withdrawn ~ and they are labeled, "Withdrawn Phases". The second version has the "whereby phases removed. The original numbering has been retained in both versions and the status of each claim is identified as "Original" or as "Withdrawn" following the claim number.

As mentioned before, this is the first patent application that I have attempted prosecuting. I am a layman so please be patient. I request assistance in writing (or rewriting) proper claims to avoid the noted omnibus rejection. Thank you very much, in advance.

Respectfully submitted,


Craig L. Linden